In The Matter Of:

IN RE: STATE STREET BANK AND TRUST CO

ELIZABETH SHEA September 29, 2009

CONFIDENTIAL SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER MERRILL CORPORATION

25 West 45th Street - Suite 900 New York, NY 10036 PH: 212-557-7400 / FAX: 212-692-9171

SHEA, ELIZABETH - Vol. 1

No. 08-05442
HPOPS v. State Street
Memo in Resp to
M/Strike Weiner
Exhibit 4

CONFIDENTIAL SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER

	Page 146	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Page 148
1	ELIZABETH SHEA		1	ELIZABETH SHEA
01:18 2	A. Yes.	01:19	2	fine.
01:18 3	Q. True?	01:19	3	Q. That would be fine?
01:18 4	A. Yes.	01:19	4	A. Yes.
01:18 5	Q. Commercial mortgage-backed	01:19	5	Q. So you could 100 percent, according
01:18 6	securities rated investment grade by Moody's and	01:19	6	to the fund dec, in one even one of these
01:18 7	ERISA eligible?	01:19	7	any one of these ten categories?
01:18 8	A. Right.	01:19	8	A. That's correct.
01:18 9	Q. Right?	01:20	9	Q. Okay. Now, assume with me that it's
01:18 10	A. Yeah.	01:20	10	a hundred percent invested in one subsector, or
01:18 11	Q. Derivative securities, including,	01:20	11	let's just say instead of a hundred percent,
01:18 12	but not limited to, financial futures contracts,	01:20	12	let's use the actual numbers.
01:18 13	options and SWAPS?	01:20	13	You know, do you not, that by the
01:18 14	A. Yes.	01:20	14	summer of 2007, July 31st, 2007
01:18 15	Q. Deposits and other debt instruments	01:20	15	A. Uh-huh.
01:18 16	of domestic banks for both the U.S. and non-U.S.	01:20	16	Q that 95 percent of this fund was
01:18 17	branchs?	01:20	17	in subprime securities?
01:18 18	A. Yep.	01:20	18	A. No, I did not know that.
01:18 19	Q. And the last one, bank commingled	01:20	19	Q. That would surprise you?
01:18 20	funds maintained by the trustee which have	01:20	20	A. Given where we are today, no, that
01:18 21	characteristics with the overall investment	01:20	21	would not surprise me.
01:18 22	objectives?	01:20	22	Q. Okay. Now, do you believe that a
01:18 23	A. Right.	01:20	23	fund that is 95 percent exposed to subprime
01:18 24	Q. So when you or a member of your team	01:20	24	securities is diversified?
01:18 25	would review the Limited Duration Bond Fund for	01:20	25	MR. MAHER: Objection, Calls for a
	Page 147		***************************************	Page 149
1	ELIZABETH SHEA		1	ELIZABETH SHEA
01:18 2	compliance with fund dec, what you would do is	01:20	2	legal conclusion.
01:18 3	you would look at the portfolio holdings and make	01:20	3	A. No.
01:18 4	sure that the assets in the portfolio holdings	01:21	4	Q. Okay.
01:18 5	comprise at least one of these particular assets,	01:21	5	A. However, it does not say that it
01:19 6	right?	01:21	6	needs to be diversified.
01:19 7	A. No.	01:21	7	Q. No. But my question was, do you
01:19 8	Q. All right. What would you do?	01:21	8	believe that a fund that would be 95 percent
01:19 9	A. We would review to ensure that the	01:21	9	exposed to subprime securities is diversified?
01:19 10	holdings complied with this. That doesn't	01:21	10	A. No. Standing alone, no.
01:19 11	that does not mean at least one.	f.	11	Q. Okay. And that's because that's an
01:19 12	Q. Okay. What do you mean "complied	01:21	12	overweight to subprime, right?
01:19 13	with this"?	01:21	13	A. Yes.
01:19 14	A. That	01:21	14	Q. Now, did you know that the Limited
01:19 15	Q. What how do you comply with this	01:21	15	Duration Bond Fund fact sheet described the two
01:19 16	permitted categories of assets investment?	01:21		clients that the Limited Duration Bond Fund was a
01:19 17	A. That what the fund holds falls	01:21		well diversified portfolio of securities?
01:19 18	within the permitted categories.	01:21	18	A. No.
01:19 19	Q. All right. And it falls within one	01:21	19	Q. Okay. Well, 95 percent in subprime
01:19 20	of these permitted categories, at least one?	01:21	20	would not be a well diversified portfolio of
01:19 21	A. That it falls within the permitted	01:21	21	securities, would it?
01:19 22	categories.	01:21	22	 A. No, I would not disagree.
01:19 23	Q. Okay.	01:21		Q. And compliance was not charged
01:19 24	A. If the fund held exclusively debt of	01:22		I'm certainly not trying to blame you, ma'am, but
01:19 25	agencies of the U.S. government, that would be	101:22	25	I just want to make sure compliance was not

38 (Pages 146 to 149)

Case 1:08-md-01945-PAC Document 182-6 Filed 07/15/10 Page 3 of 6

CONFIDENTIAL SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER

		Page 206				Page 208
·	1	ELIZABETH SHEA		1		ELIZABETH SHEA
02:39	2	A. Uh-huh.	02:41	2	A.	
02:39	3	Q. Okay?	02:41	3		I mean, it's no secret that these
02:39	4	A. There's a great deal of information	02:41	4		preadsheets aren't posted on any website,
02:39	5	here that is data that you could commonly find on	02:41	5	right?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
02:39	6	a fact sheet that would go to anyone.	02:41	6	_	That's correct. Public website,
02:39	7	Q. Uh-huh. Okay. Is the risk is	02:41	7	absolute	ely not.
02:39	8	the risk capital utilization on a fact sheet?	02:41	8	Q.	Yes.
02:39	9	A. No, that is not.	02:41	9		ARMSTRONG EXHIBIT NO. 71 INTRODUCED
02:39	10	Q. Is the cVAR for the end of the month	02:41	10	Q.	And we'll look at Armstrong
02:39	11	on a fact sheet?	02:41	11	Exhibit	71, which is for August.
02:39	12	A. No.	02:41	12		Have you seen that exhibit before?
02:39	13	Q. Is the monthly risk tolerance on a	02:41	13	A,	No.
02:39	14	fact sheet?	02:41	14	-	Look at with me, by August, the
02:39	15	A. No.	02:41	15		value of the CMY1 fund had fallen to
02:39	16	Q. Is the amount of the risk budget	02:41	16		lion, from \$1.35 billion to \$31 million,
02:39	17	assigned to each of the trades on a fact sheet?	02:41	17		see that, between June 30th of 2007 and
02:39	18	A. No, it is not.	02:42	18	_	31, 2007?
02:39	19	Q. Okay. Now, let me ask the question	02:42	19	A.	Yes.
02:39	20	again.	02:42	20	Q.	
02:39	21	Do you believe that it is proper	02:42		risk caj	pital utilization there?
02:39	22	that the other portfolio managers, including	02:42			The risk capital utilization has
02:39	23	those that had invested in the Limited Duration	02:42	23		om 115 percent to 426 percent?
02:39	24	Bond Fund, had access to this information when	02:42			I see that.
02:39	25	the public did not?	02:42	25	Q.	So a substantial increase in risk,
	***************************************	Page 207				Page 209
	1	ELIZABETH SHEA			1	ELIZABETH SHEA
02:39	2	MR. MAHER: Objection. Foundation.	02:42		2 rig)
02:39	3	A. Probably not.	02:42	2	3	A. I don't technically know what that
02:40	4	Q. And that's because that would be	02:42	2	4 sta	tistic means.
02:40	5	disseminating information to some of the	02:42	}	5	Q. All right. So you don't know what
02:40	6	investors in the Limited Duration Bond Fund	02:42	2	6 the	risk budget means?
02:40	7	without disseminating the same information to all	02:42	2	7	A. No.
02:40	8	the investors on or about the same time, right?	02:42	2	8	Q. As a member of compliance?
02:40	9	MR, MAHER: Objection, Foundation.	02:42	2	9	A. No, that was not our area of
02:40	10	A. It gave them the ability to access	02:42	2 1	0 res	ponsibility.
02:40	11	it. I wouldn't say it was disseminated, and I	02:42		L1	Q. Okay. You see the performance of
02:40	12	don't know that anyone knows whether it was	02:42		L2 the	if we look at total performance on June
02:40	13	accessed.	02:42			of June 30th, it was negative 52.7 basis
02:40	14	Q. Okay. All right. But if they had	02:42			ints?
02:40	15	access, they should not have access, is what	02:42		l.5	A. Uh-huh,
02:40	16	you're saying?	02:42		16	Q. Look at the performance by June.
100 40	17	A. I my preference is that they	02:42		L7	A. Uh-huh.
02:40	18	would not have had access.	02:42		L8	Q. 4,313 basis points.
02:40		 Q. Okay. And that's because it would 	02:42		19	A. Uh-huh.
02:40	19			2 2	20	Q. That's a disastrous performance,
02:40	19 20	have made available to them, the other portfolio	02:42			
02:40 02:40 02:40 02:40		have made available to them, the other portfolio managers that invested in the Limited Duration	02:43	3 2	2.1 isr	ı't it?
02:40 02:40 02:40	20 21 22	have made available to them, the other portfolio	02:43	3 2	21 is r 22	't it? A. Yes, it is.
02:40 02:40 02:40 02:40 02:40 02:40	20 21 22 23	have made available to them, the other portfolio managers that invested in the Limited Duration Bond Fund, information that was not publicly available?	02:43 02:43 02:43	3 <i>2</i> 3 <i>2</i> 3 <i>2</i>	21 isr 22 23	I't it? A. Yes, it is. Q. Now, these Excel spreadsheets were
02:40 02:40 02:40 02:40 02:40	20 21 22	have made available to them, the other portfolio managers that invested in the Limited Duration Bond Fund, information that was not publicly	02:43	3 2 3 2 3 2	21 isr 22 23	't it? A. Yes, it is.

53 (Pages 206 to 209)

CONFIDENTIAL SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER

	Page 222			Page 224
1	ELIZABETH SHEA		1	ELIZABETH SHEA
02:55 2	Q. It had at least	02:57	2	legal conclusion.
02:55 3	A. I would expect it did.	02:57	3	A. I don't know that I'm qualified to
02:55 4	Q. Yes. And it could have liquidated	02:58	4	state that, but
	ny of them other any of those other	02:58	5	Q. Well, we've already gone over that
	nvestments in order to make redemptions, right?	02:58	6	you're qualified because you're you're in
02:55 7	A. Yes.	02:58	7	charge of compliance, portfolio compliance at
02:55 8	MR. MAHER: Objection. Foundation.	02:58	8	State Street, right, and we've talked about your
02:55 9	Q. It didn't have to pick the Limited	02:58	9	understanding of fiduciary responsibility
	Duration Bond Fund in order to meet redemptions?	02:58	10	throughout this deposition, and your and you
02:55 11	MR. MAHER: Objection. Foundation.	02:58	11	have acknowledged to me repeatedly that all
02:55 12	Misleading.	02:58	12	clients ought to be treated fairly.
02:55 13	A. The challenges without understanding	02:58	13	Would it be treating all clients
	exactly how the portfolio was run and what the	02:58	14	fairly if only State Street-related funds, GAA
	portfolio manager's method of raising liquidity	02:58	15	clients and Office of Fiduciary Advisor clients
	was, I don't I can't answer that question.	02:58	16	got out before other outside clients got out?
02:56 17	Q. Well, what we can see what we can	02:58	17	MR. MAHER: Objection. Foundation.
02:56 18 s	see here is we can see and so 8 let's see,	02:58	18	A. If
	when was the first in-kind liquidation made?	02:58	19	Q. Based on insider information?
02:56 20	The last cash distribution is made	02:58	20	MR. MAHER: Objection. Foundation.
02:56 21 0	on 8/8/07. That's not true. There's some	02:58	21	Calls for a legal conclusion.
02:56 22 s	small very small ones made after that, and	02:58	22	 A. If it was based on inside
	hen we have one, two, three, four, five large	02:58	23	information, yes.
	n-kind distributions that are made on 8/10/07.	02:58	24	Q. That would be a breach of fiduciary
02:56 25	Do you see that?	02:59	25	duty, wouldn't it?
	Page 223		anummental/e/who/	Page 225
1	ELIZABETH SHEA		1	ELIZABETH SHEA
02:56 2	A. Yes.	02:59	2	A. In my opinion, yes.
02:56 3	Q. Did you know that there were some	02:59	3	MR. BURFORD: Give me just a minute.
	large in-kind distributions made	02:59	4	I need to I need to turn this over to
02:56 5	A. No.	02:59	5	him, and I just need to make sure that I've
02:56 6	Q to other State Street funds?	02:59	6	got it and I don't have any documents I
02:56 7	A, No.	02:59	7	have to ask about.
02:56 8	Q. And but do you see above that	02:59	8	MR. MAHER: Do you want to take like
	there are millions and millions of dollars of	02:59	9	a five-minute break then?
	liquidations and sales by other State Street	02:59	10	MR. BURFORD: Will you give me five?
	funds?	02:59	11	MR. MAHER: Sure.
02:57 12	A. I do.	02:59	12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the
02:57 13	Q. And if other State Street funds and	02:59	13	record 2:58 p.m.
	GAA clients and the Office of Fiduciary Advisor	03:06	14	(A recess was taken.)
1	clients all got out before information on	03:06		SHEA EXHIBIT NOS. 12, 13, 14 and 15 MARKED
	subprime prices was disseminated publicly to all	03:07	16	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Here marks the
	clients, would that be proper?	03:07		beginning of Tape No. 4 in the videotaped
02:57 18	MR, MAHER: Objection. Foundation.	03:07		deposition of Elizabeth Shea. Back on the
02:57 19	 A. If that was the case, I wouldn't see 	03:07		record 3:07 p.m.
02:57 20	that that was I would not think that	03:07		BY MR. BURFORD:
02:57 21	appropriate. I don't know that that was the	03:07		Q. Let me show what is Shea Exhibit
02:57 22	case.	03:07		No. 13.
	Q. If that was the case, that would be	03:07	23	A. Thank you.
02:57 23	Q. If that was the case, that would be	ł		
1	a serious breach of fiduciary duty; would it not? MR. MAHER: Objection. Calls for a	03:07 03:07	24	Q. This is from Jack Moore to the Office of Fiduciary Advisors, dated July 26th,

57 (Pages 222 to 225)

CONFIDENTIAL SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER

		Page 330			Page 332
	1	ELIZABETH SHEA		1	ELIZABETH SHEA
05:12	2	Consistency of what?	05:14	2	however, because all of the securities are
05:12	3	Q. He asked you a series of questions	05:14	3	predominant number of the securities are in the
05:12	4	that if that the governing document was	05:14	4	same sector, you're still at a high risk of
05:12	5	inconsistent with ERISA, then ERISA should	05:14	5	non-diversification.
05:12	6	A. Prevail.	05:14	6	Q. And in your role overseeing the
05:12	7	Q prevail?	05:14	7	mutual funds, there was an accepted definition of
05:12	8	A. Yes.	05:14	8	sector risk, wasn't there?
05:12	9	Q. And there were a number of those	05:14	9	A. I don't
05:12	10	questions	05:15	10	Q. And I'm speaking about the SAIs.
05:12	11	A. Yes.	05:15	11	A. There is mutual funds have a
05:12	12	Q and variations of that.	05:15	12	requirement to concentrate no more than 25
05:12	13	Do you recall that?	05:15	13	percent in any one industry. Sector and industry
05:12	14	A. Yes.	05:15	14	are not defined in the same fashion, but
05:12	15	Q. I just wanted to clarify that am I	05:15	15	effectively, that requirement within mutual
05:12	16	correct that during the years that you served as	05:15	16	funds, you know, by definition would help them to
05:12	17	a compliance officer overseeing fixed income, the	05:15	17	avoid the situation that LDBF found itself in.
05:12	18	fixed income funds, it was not compliance's	05:15	18	Q. Because it would be more there
05:12	19	function or job to identify inconsistency between	05:15	19	would be more sectors within the portfolio,
05:12	20	the governing documents and ERISA?	05:15	20	rather than just a single subprime sector; is
05:13	21	A. That is correct.	05:15	21	that right?
05:13	22	Q. And so, for example, it would not be	05:15	22	A. That's correct.
05:13	23	your job or compliance's job to identify	05:15	23	MR. ENG: Thank you very much. I
05:13	24	inconsistencies between any of the governing	05:15	24	have no further questions.
05:13	25	documents and, for example, the prudent investor	05:15	25	THE WITNESS: All right. Thank you.
Milled College Contraction and College	NATIONAL VIOLENTIA TRANSCO	Page 331		April 1997 - April	Page 333
	1	ELIZABETH SHEA	and the second s	1	ELIZABETH SHEA
05:13	1 2	standard under ERISA?	05:15	2	MR. MAHER: We have don't have any
05:13	3	A. That is correct. Compliance does	05:15	3	questions either for the witness.
05:13	4	not have a role in creating legal documents for	05:15	4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the
05:13	5	either our clients or the business.	05:15	5	end of Tape No. 5 the videotaped deposition
05:13	6	Q. Mr. Burford also asked you about the	05:16	6	of Elizabeth Shea. Going off the record
05:13	7	LDBF being 95 percent invested in subprime.	05:16	7	5:15 p.m.
05:13	8	A. Yes.	05:16	8	(The deposition was adjourned at 5:15 p.m.)
05:13	9	Q. If that was diversified.	00.10	9	(Int appoint was any many
05:13	10	A. Yes.	and account in	10	
05:13	11	Q. I recall that you testified that	description.	11	
05:13	12	would not be diversified		12	
05:13	13	A. Right.	and and finance	13	
05:13	14	Q correct?		14	
05:13	15	A. Correct.	To all and a constant	15	
05:13	16	Q. If the LDBF had 95 percent of its		16	
05:13		investments all in the subprime sector, but some	Ì	17	
05:13		were Triple A and some were Double A and some	المعت منتشوه	18	
05:13	19	were Single A, would that change your answer,	and south the state of	19	
05:14	20	that it wasn't diversified, but they're all still	· ·	20	
05:14	21	in the real estate subprime sector?		21	
05:14	22	A. It slightly modifies my response in	relative doct	22	
05:14	23	that the fund is not diversified from a sector	407	23	
05:14		perspective, so to speak. It may be somewhat	L	24	
05:14		more diversified from a credit standpoint;		25	
100.11	20	more attainment out a press commission.	I		

84 (Pages 330 to 333)

	Page 335
1	ELIZABETH SHEA
2	COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS)
3	SUFFOLK, SS)
4	
5	I, Deborah L. Roth, and Notary Public in and for
6	the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby
7	certify that on September 29, 2009, Elizabeth
8	Shea, personally appeared before me, and proved
9	to through satisfactory evidence of
10	identification to be the person who was by me
11	duly sworn to the truth concerning any knowledge
12	in this cause; that that person was thereupon
13	examined under oath, and the examination reduced
14	to typewriting; and that the deposition is a true
15	record of the testimony given by the witness.
16	I further certify that I am neither related to
17	nor employed by any attorney or counsel employed
18	by the parties hereto or financially interested
19	in the action.
20	In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand
21	this 2nd day of October, 2009
22	
23	DEBORAH ROTH, Notary Public
24	My commission expires: 1/23/2015
25	